**Conservation Design for Manatees in Puerto Rico**

**Fall 2010, Peer Evaluation Form**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Evaluator** | —your name here— |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Description** |
| Excellent | Consistently went above and beyond – tutored teammates, routinely went above and beyond basic team responsibilities. |
| Very good | Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative. |
| Satisfactory | Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative. |
| Ordinary | Often did what he/she was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative |
| Marginal | Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared. |
| Deficient | Often failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared. |
| Unsatisfactory | Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments, unprepared. |
| Superficial | Practically no participation. |
| No show | No participation. |
| Unknown | Don’t know anything about this person’s participation. |

For each person you are evaluating, write name, rating, and a brief paragraph supporting your rating. You must evaluate everyone on your team, and you may evaluate anyone else whose contributions you know well enough to comment on. Supporting statement box will expand.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Rating** | **Supporting statement** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |